

Machine Learning meets Geometry

L5: 3D Transformation

Hao Su

3D Spatial Relationships

• How to represent the relationships between objects?



3D Spatial Relationships

• How to represent the relationships between objects?



Prereq: Topology

• Topology: Structural Properties of a Manifold



• Two surfaces M and N are *topologically equivalent* if there is a **differentiable bijection** between M and N





Prereq: Topology

• More examples:



Rotation and SO(n)

Orientation

- We use "rotation" to represent the relative orientation between two frames
- For example,
 - Space Frame: $\{s\} = \{\hat{x}_{s}, \hat{y}_{s}, \hat{z}_{s}\}$
 - Body Frame: $\{b\} = \{\hat{x}_b, \hat{y}_b, \hat{z}_b\}$
 - R_{sb} rotates the frame of the space to the frame of the body after the origins are aligned



Rotation in \mathbb{R}^2



Rotation in \mathbb{R}^3



3 Degree of Freedoms

The Set of Rotations

- $SO(n) = \{R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \det(R) = 1, RR^T = I\}$
- SO(n): "Special Orthogonal Group"
- "Group": a group under the matrix multiplication
- "Orthogonal": $RR^T = I$
- "Special": det(R) = 1
- SO(2): 2D rotations, 1 DoF
- SO(3): 3D rotations, 3 DoF

Topology of SO(n)

• The topology of SO(2) is the same as a circle



Topology of SO(n)

• Circles do not have the same topology as $(-1,1)^n$ \implies No differentiable bijections between SO(2) and $(-1,1)^n$



• The topology of SO(3) is also different from $(-1,1)^n$

Why do we care about the topology?

• An ideal parameterization $f(\theta) : U \mapsto SO(2)$ to use in networks:

1. The domain is $(-l, l)^n$ (as network output)

- An ideal parameterization $f(\theta) : U \mapsto SO(2)$ to use in networks:
 - 1. The domain is $(-l, l)^n$
 - 2.f is a differentiable *bijection*

Otherwise:



- If input data points to network are close, but the θ predictions happen to be far after convergence, the network (a continuous function) will make awful predictions between the two data points!
- Need special network design to overcome the issue (will discuss in future lectures)

- An ideal parameterization $f(\theta) : U \mapsto SO(2)$ to use in networks:
 - 1. The domain is $(-l, l)^n$
 - 2. *f* is a differentiable *bijection* 3. $\forall \theta \forall y \in \mathbf{T}_{f(\theta)}$ with ||y|| = 1, there should $\exists x \in \mathbf{T}_{\theta}$, such that y = Df[x] and $c + \epsilon > ||x|| > c - \epsilon$ for some constant *c* and small ϵ (all movement in SO(n) should be achieved by movement in the domain with a near constant speed)

- An ideal parameterization $f(\theta) : U \mapsto SO(2)$ to use in networks:
 - 1. The domain is $(-l, l)^n$
 - 2. *f* is a differentiable *bijection* 3. $\forall \theta \forall y \in \mathbf{T}_{f(\theta)}$ with ||y|| = 1, there should $\exists x \in \mathbf{T}_{\theta}$, such that y = Df[x] and $c + \epsilon > ||x|| > c - \epsilon$ for some constant *c* and small ϵ
- However, 1 and 2 are contradictory by topology!
- For 3, it also creates troubles for the SO(3) case.

Euler Angles

Euler Angle is Very Intuitive



Euler Angle to Rotation Matrix

• Rotation about principal axis is represented as:

$$R_{x}(\alpha) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ 0 & \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$
$$R_{y}(\beta) := \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix}$$
$$R_{z}(\gamma) := \begin{bmatrix} \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma & 0 \\ \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

• $R = R_z(\alpha)R_y(\beta)R_x(\gamma)$ for arbitrary rotation

Inspection from Learning Perspective

• Euler Angle is **not unique** for some rotations. For example, $R_z(45^\circ)R_y(90^\circ)R_x(45^\circ) = R_z(90^\circ)R_y(90^\circ)R_x(90^\circ)$ $\Gamma = 0$ 0 17

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

20

Inspection from Learning Perspective

- Gimbal lock:
 - $\mathrm{D}f$ is rank-deficient at some θ
 - \Rightarrow some movement in $\mathbf{T}_{f(\theta)}(SO(3))$ cannot be achieved

Inspection from Learning Perspective

• For example: When $\beta = \pi/2$,

$$R = R_{z}(\alpha)R_{y}(\pi/2)R_{x}(\gamma)$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1\\ \sin(\alpha + \gamma) & \cos(\alpha + \gamma) & 0\\ -\cos(\alpha + \gamma) & \sin(\alpha + \gamma) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

since changing α and γ has the same effects, a degree of freedom disappears!

Summary

- Euler angle can parameterize every rotation and has good interpretability
- It is not a unique representation at some points
- There are some points where not every change in the target space (rotations) can be realized by a change in the source space (Euler angles)

Axis-Angle

Euler Theorem

- Any rotation in SO(3) is equivalent to rotation about a fixed axis $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ through a positive angle θ
- $\hat{\omega}$: unit vector of rotation axis ($\|\hat{\omega}\| = 1$)
- θ : angle of rotation
- $R \in SO(3) := Rot(\hat{\omega}, \theta)$

Skew-Symmetric Matrix

- *A* is skew-symmetric $A = -A^T$
- Skew-symmetric matrix operator:

$$\omega = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\omega] := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega_3 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 & 0 & -\omega_1 \\ -\omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Cross product can be a linear transformation
 a × b = [a]b
- Lie Algebra of 3D rotation: - $so(3) := \{S \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} : S^T = -S\}$

• Consider a point q. At time t = 0, the position is q_0

- Rotate q with **unit** angular velocity around axis $\hat{\omega}$, i.e.,
 - $-v = \hat{\omega} \times r$
 - $\dot{q}(t) = \hat{\omega} \times q(t) = [\hat{\omega}]q(t)$



$$\dot{q}(t) = \hat{\omega} \times q(t) = [\hat{\omega}]q(t)$$

 $\Rightarrow q(t) = e^{[\hat{\omega}]t}q_0$ (solution of the ODE)

$$\begin{split} \|\hat{\omega}\| &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow \text{ the swept angle } \theta = \|\hat{\omega}t\| = t \\ \Rightarrow q(\theta) &= e^{[\hat{\omega}]\theta}q_0 \\ \Rightarrow Rot(\hat{\omega}, \theta) &= e^{[\hat{\omega}]\theta} = e^{[\hat{\omega}\theta]} \text{ (exponential map)} \end{split}$$

• $\vec{\omega} = \hat{\omega}\theta$ is also called **rotation vector** or **exponential coordinate**

- Definition of Matrix Exponential: $e^{[\hat{\omega}]\theta} = I + \theta[\hat{\omega}] + \frac{\theta^2}{2!} [\hat{\omega}]^2 + \frac{\theta^3}{3!} [\hat{\omega}]^3 + \cdots$
- Sum of infinite series? Rodrigues Formula
 - Can prove that $[\hat{\omega}]^3 = [\hat{\omega}]$
 - Then, use Taylor expansion of sin and cos
 - $e^{[\hat{\omega}]\theta} = I + [\hat{\omega}]\sin\theta + [\hat{\omega}]^2(1 \cos\theta)$

Given $R \in SO(3)$, what is $\hat{\omega}$ and θ ?

- First question: Is there a **unique** parametrization?
 - No:

1. $(\hat{\omega}, \theta)$ and $(-\hat{\omega}, -\theta)$ give the same rotation 2. when R = I, $\theta = 0$ and $\hat{\omega}$ can be arbitrary

- When 2 does not happen, and if we also restrict $\theta \in [0,\pi)$, a unique parameterization exists:
 - when $\operatorname{tr}(R) \neq -1$, can be computed by $\theta = \arccos \frac{1}{2} [\operatorname{tr}(R) - 1], \quad [\hat{\omega}] = \frac{1}{2 \sin \theta} (R - R^T)$
 - when tr(R) = -1, they are the cases that $\theta = \pi$ for rotations around x/y/z axis

Distance between Rotations

- How to measure the distance between rotations (R_1, R_2) ?
- A natural view is to measure the (minimal) effort to rotate the body at R_1 pose to R_2 pose:

 $\therefore (R_2 R_1^T) R_1 = R_2 \quad \therefore \operatorname{dist}(R_1, R_2) = \theta(R_2 R_1^T) = \arccos \frac{1}{2} [\operatorname{tr}(R_2 R_1^T) - 1]$



Inspection from Learning Perspective

- When used in networks, one prominent issue is:
 - Suppose that you are estimating $heta\hat{w}$ as a 3D vector
 - To keep a unique parameterization, you assume that $\theta \in (0,\pi]$
 - Your current solution is $\pi\hat{\omega}$
 - $(\pi \epsilon)(-\hat{\omega})$ is mapped to a neighborhood point in SO(3), but it is not in the neighborhood of the domain, hence gradient descent could not achieve it

Summary of Axis-Angle

- Axis-Angle is an intuitive rotation representation
- By adding a constraint to the domain of θ , the parameterization can be unique at most points
- Can be converted to and from rotation matrices by exponential map and its inverse (when possible)
- Induced a distance between rotations which is a metric in *SO*(3) (independent of parameterization)

Quaternion

Mathematical Definition

- Recall the complex number $a + b\mathbf{i}$
- Quaternion is a more generalized complex number: $q = w + x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k}$
 - *w* is the real part and $\overrightarrow{v} = (x, y, z)$ is the imaginary part
 - Imaginary: $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$
 - anti-commutative : ij = k = -ji, jk = i = -kj, ki = j = -ik

Properties of General Quaternions

• In vector-form, the product of two quaternions: For $q_1 = (w_1, \overrightarrow{v}_1)$ and $q_2 = (w_2, \overrightarrow{v}_2)$

$$q_1 q_2 = (w_1 w_2 - \overrightarrow{v_1}^T \overrightarrow{v_2}, w_1 \overrightarrow{v_2} + w_2 \overrightarrow{v_1} + \overrightarrow{v_1} \times \overrightarrow{v_2})$$

- Conjugate: $q^* = (w, -\overrightarrow{v})$
- Norm: $||q||^2 = w^2 + \overrightarrow{v}^T \overrightarrow{v} = qq^* = q^*q$

• Inverse:
$$q^{-1} := \frac{q}{\|q\|^2}$$

Unit Quaternion as Rotation

- A unit quaternion $\|q\| = 1$ can represent a rotation
 - Four numbers plus one constraint \rightarrow 3 DoF
- Geometrically, the shell of a 4D sphere

Unit Quaternion as Rotation

• Rotate a vector \overrightarrow{x} by quaternion q:

1. Augment
$$\overrightarrow{x}$$
 to $x = (0, \overrightarrow{x})$

2.
$$x' = qxq^{-1}$$

- Compose rotations by quaternion:
 - $(q_2(q_1xq_1^*)q_2^*)$: first rotate by q_1 and then by q_2
 - Since $(q_2(q_1xq_1^*)q_2^*) = (q_2q_1)x(q_1^*q_2^*)$, we conclude that composing rotations is as simple as multiplying quaternions!

Conversation between Quaternions and Angle-Axis

• Exponential coordinate \rightarrow Quaternion:

 $q = [\cos(\theta/2), \sin(\theta/2)\hat{\omega}]$

Quaternion is very close to angle-axis representation!

• Exponential coordinate ← Quaternion:

$$\theta = 2 \arccos(w), \qquad \hat{\omega} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sin(\theta/2)} \overrightarrow{v} & \theta \neq 0\\ 0 & \theta = 0 \end{cases}$$

Conversation between Quaternion and Rotation Matrix

• Rotation \leftarrow Quaternion

$$R(q) = E(q)G(q)^{T}$$

where $E(q) = [-\overrightarrow{v}, wI + [\overrightarrow{v}]]$ and
 $G(q) = [-\overrightarrow{v}, wI - [\overrightarrow{v}]]$

- Rotation \rightarrow Quaternion
 - Rotation \rightarrow Angle-Axis \rightarrow Quaternion

Inspection from Learning Perspective

- Each rotation corresponds to two quaternions ("double-covering")
- Need to normalize to unit length in networks. This normalization may cause big/small gradients in practice

More about Quaternion

- Quaternion is computationally cheap:
 - Internal representation of Physical Engine and Robot
 - Pay attention to convention (w, x, y, z) or (x, y, z, w)?
 - (w, x, y, z): SAPIEN, transforms3d, Eigen, blender, MuJoCo, V-Rep
 - (x, y, z, w): ROS, PhysX, PyBullet

Summary of Quaternion

- Very useful and popular in practice
- 4D parameterization, compact and efficient to compute
- Good numerical properties in general

Summary of Rotation Representations

	Inverse?	Composing?	Any local movement in SO(3) can be achieved by local movement in the domain?
Rotation Matrix	~	~	N/A
Euler Angle	Complicated	Complicated	No
Angle-axis	~	Complicated	?
Skew- symmetrical Matrix	~	Complicated	?
Quaternion	 ✓ 	~	~

? means no singularity with single exceptions



- A useful torch library that you can play with is "kornia"
- Use with cautious to its numerical properties
- "ceres" is a C++ library that is quite useful